JOSIE DELVIN BENTON COUNTY CLERK

MAY 1 7 2023

FILED



CIVIL BENTON COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT Case Information Cover Sheet (CICS)

Case Number 23 - 2 - 0 6 9 40 - 03 Case Title Jamie Bailey v QualiCenters Inland Northwest, LLC d/b/a FMC Columbia Basin, d/b/a Fresenius Kidney Care

Attorney Name Adam R. Pechtel Bar Membership Number 43/43					
Please check one category that best describes this case for indexing purposes. Accurate case indexing not only saves					
time in docketing new cases, but helps in forecasting needed judicial resources. Cause of action definitions are listed on					
the back of this form. Thank you for your cooperation.					
	ABJ	Abstract of Judgment		PRG	Property Damage – Gangs
	ALR	Administrative Law Review		PRP	Property Damages
	ALRIT	Administrative Law Review-Jury Trial (L&I)		QTI	Quiet Title
	CHN	Non-Confidential Change of Name		RDR	Relief from Duty to Register
	COL	Collection		RFR	Restoration of Firearm Rights
	CON	Condemnation		SDR	School District-Required Action Plan
	COM	Commercial		SPC	Seizure of Property-Commission of Crime
	DOL	Appeal Licensing Revocation		SPR	Seizure of Property-Resulting from Crime
	DVP	Domestic Violence		STK	Stalking Petition
	EOM	Emancipation of Minor		SXP	Sexual Assault Protection
	FJU	Foreign Judgment		TAX	Employment Security Tax Warrant
	FOR	Foreclosure		TAX	L & I Tax Warrant
	FPO	Foreign Protection Order		TAX	Licensing Tax Warrant
	HAR	Unlawful Harassment .		TAX	Revenue Tax Warrant
	INJ	Injunction		TMV	Tort - Motor Vehicle
	INT	Interpleader		TRJ	Transcript of Judgment
	LCA	Lower Court Appeal - Civil		TTO	Tort - Other
	LCI	Lower Court Appeal – Infractions		TXF	Tax Foreclosure
	LUPA	Land Use Petition Act		UND	Unlawful Detainer - Commercial
	MAL	Other Malpractice		UND	Unlawful Detainer - Residential
	MED	Medical Malpractice		VAP	Vulnerable Adult Protection Order
	MHA	Malicious Harassment		VVT	Victims of Motor Vehicle Theft-Civil Action
X	MSC2	Miscellaneous – Civil		WDE	Wrongful Death
	MST2	Minor Settlement - Civil (No Guardianship)		WHC	Writ of Habeas Corpus
	PCC	Petition for Civil Commitment (Sexual Predator)		WMW	Miscellaneous Writs
	PFA	Property Fairness Act		WRM	Writ of Mandamus
	PIN	Personal Injury		WRR	Writ of Restitution
IF YOU CANNOT DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE CATEGORY, PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CAUSE OF ACTION BELOW.					

Employment

Please Note: Public information in court files and pleadings may be posted on a public Web site.

21

- 3. Benton County Superior Court is the proper venue for this cause of action because Defendant QualiCenters Inland Northwest, LLC has an office and transacts business in Benton County, Washington, and is, therefore, a resident of Benton County.
- Venue is also proper because Benton County is where the cause of action arose.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- Plaintiff Jamie Elaine Bailey ("Plaintiff") is a natural person residing in Umatilla, Oregon.
- Defendant QualiCenters Inland Northwest, LLC ("Defendant") is a
 foreign limited liability company duly organized under the laws of the
 State of Colorado.
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant is a wholly owned subsidiary of National Medical Care, Inc. and QualiCenters, Inc.
- 8. Defendant operates a facility in Kennewick, Washington under the tradenames "Fresenius Kidney Care" and "FMC Columbia Basin."
- 9. Defendant's business is the treatment of renal disease.
- 10. Plaintiff is a Registered Nurse and has been duly licensed as such under the laws of the State of Washington since February 2005.

Complaint for Damages - 2

Pechtel Law PLLC

from March 9, 2020, to September 28, 2022, as a Dialysis Registered

Defendant employed Plaintiff at its Kennewick, Washington facility

11.

Nurse.

3

6

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

- 12. Defendant paid Plaintiff on an hourly basis.
- 13. Defendant paid Plaintiff an initial pay rate of \$40.00 per hour, which regularly increased over the course of her employment to a final pay rate of \$48.45 per hour at the time of her separation from employment.
- 14. Defendant used a bi-weekly (every two weeks) pay period and payment interval for the payment of Plaintiff's wages, with a payday occurring every other Friday, as those terms are defined in WAC 296-126-023.
- 15. Defendant's pay periods began on a Sunday and ended fourteen days later on a Saturday, with the corresponding payday occurring the following Friday.
- 16. Plaintiff was entitled to overtime for any hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 17. Defendant originally scheduled Plaintiff to work three 12-hour shifts and one 12-hour on-call shift per week, block-scheduled over a two-week period so that Plaintiff worked six to eight days consecutively and had six to eight consecutive days off work.

Complaint for Damages - 3

Pechtel Law PLLC

10

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

- 18. During 2021, Defendant failed to maintain proper staffing levels and frequently requested Plaintiff work additional hours to make up staffing shortages.
- 19. During 2021, Plaintiff worked more than her scheduled 72 hours per two weeks, 77% of the pay periods.
- 20. During 2021, Plaintiff worked more than 84 hours per two weeks, about 38% of the pay periods.
- During 2021, Defendant periodically offered Plaintiff bonuses as an incentive to work additional shifts.
- About the first quarter of 2022, Nicole Sattler became Defendant's Operation Manager.
- 23. Ms. Sattler announced during a staff meeting that bonuses would no longer be paid and that overtime and additional on-call shifts would be mandatory due to staffing shortages.
- 24. After Ms. Sattler's announcement, Defendant scheduled Plaintiff to work four 12-hour shifts and two 12-hour on-call shifts per week, block-scheduled over a two-week period so that Plaintiff worked eight to ten days consecutively and only had as few as four consecutive days off work every two weeks.
- 25. Plaintiff expressed her disagreement with the schedule changes, but Defendant did not change the schedule.

Complaint for Damages - 4

Pechtel Law PLLC

8

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

- 26. Plaintiff exercised her legal right to refuse the additional on-call shifts and overtime.
- Plaintiff reasonably believed Defendant's scheduling practices amounted to employer misconduct.
- 28. Plaintiff contacted the human resources department and reported the scheduling practices in what she thought was a confidential discussion.
- 29. Defendant's human resources representative, Kristian Johnson, sent an SMS text message to Plaintiff informing her that Defendant's operation team had been informed of her complaint regarding the scheduling practices and that the legal team had confirmed that Defendant was in compliance.
- 30. September 4, 2022, to September 21, 2022, Plaintiff took approved paid vacation.
- 31. After returning from vacation, Defendant again scheduled Plaintiff for four 12-hour shifts and two 12-hour on-call shifts per week but removed the block-scheduling benefit, giving Plaintiff only single days off.
- 32. Plaintiff once again exercised her legal right to refuse the excess overtime and on-call shifts.

Complaint for Damages - 5

Pechtel Law PLLC

19

20

21

- 33. Plaintiff emailed Defendant a message resigning her position as full-time lead Registered Nurse due to the ongoing scheduling issues and requested to work on a per diem basis.
- 34. Defendant accepted Plaintiff's email as resignation from her fulltime position but did not respond to Plaintiff's request to work on a per diem basis.

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

CLAIM I: VIOLATION OF THE HEALTH CARE EMPLOYEES MANDATORY OVERTIME

PROHIBITION ACT (RCW 49.28.140)

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 34 by reference and alleges the same again.
- 36. Defendants is health care facility which employed Plaintiff.
- 37. As such, Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty to not require, compel or force her to work more than forty-hours per week. Defendant also owed Plaintiff a duty to not schedule mandatory on-call time in lieu of scheduling employees for regularly scheduled shifts.
- 38. Defendant breached its duty to Plaintiff by scheduling her for more than forty-hours per week and telling her that it was mandatory and by scheduling her for mandatory on-call time in lieu of scheduling employees for regularly scheduled shifts.

Complaint for Damages - 6

Pechtel Law PLLC

20

21

39. Defendant's breach caused Plaintiff damage, including but not limited to, denial of Plaintiff's request to transition to per diem employment and Plaintiff's resignation from employment.

CLAIM II: CONSTRUCTIVE DISCHARGE IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY.

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 34 by reference and alleges the same again.
- 41. Plaintiff exercised her legal right or privilege to refuse extra on-call shifts and overtime.
- 42. Defendant's ongoing attempts to schedule Plaintiff for prohibited mandatory overtime and on-call shifts created a hostile and intolerable work environment.
- 43. Plaintiff's decision to resign is consistent with how any reasonable person would likely have responded to similarly intolerable work conditions.
- 44. Plaintiff resigned solely because of the ongoing scheduling issues.
- 45. Plaintiff suffered damage as a result of being constructively discharged from her position.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the plaintiff requests the following relief:

11....

Complaint for Damages - 7

Pechtel Law PLLC

Kennewick, WA 99336

(509) 586-3091

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

asks for because you have not responded. If you serve a notice of appearance on the undersigned person, you are entitled to notice before a default judgment may be entered.

You may demand that the plaintiff file this lawsuit with the court. If you do so, the demand must be in writing and must be served upon the person signing this summons. Within 14 days after you serve the demand, the plaintiff must file this lawsuit with the court, or the service on you of this summons and complaint will be void.

If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be served on time.

This summons is issued pursuant to rule 4 of the Superior Court Civil Rules of the State of Washington.

Adam R. Pechtel Plaintiff's Attorney WSBA #43743 21 N Cascade St Kennewick, WA 99336

Dated: May 3, 2023 (509) 586-3091

SUMMONS - 2

PECHTEL LAW PLLC Adam R. Pechtel 21 N Cascade St Kennewick, WA 99336 (509) 586-3091